

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,297	01/23/2004		Louis B. Johnson	70507-0005CD	4635	
22902	7590	11/17/2005		EXAMINER		
CLARK &		NUC NU	LEVY, NEIL S			
1090 VERM SUITE 250	ONIAVE	INUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	1615			

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Antique Comments	10/762,297	JOHNSON, LOUIS B.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		NEIL LEVY	1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	une 2004.						
·		s action is non-final.						
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , , ,						
4) 🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>17,18 and 21-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7)								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 17,18,21-34 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National S	Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea	` ''						
,	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
A44- 1	Was.							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	و درستان ما المال الم	(DTO 442)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 17,18, drawn to compositions, classified in class 510, subclass
 130.
- II. Claims 21, 26- 27,30 -32,34drawn to body trreatment, classified in class 424, subclass 45.
- III. ClaimS 22 25,28,29,,33 drawn to laundrying, classified in class 510, subclass 109.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions GOUPS I and II & III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products can be used in other methods, such as disease control.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: mouthwash, bar soap, liquid soap, body powdrer, deodorant, antiperspirant.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 17,18, 22,23,26-30 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,297

Art Unit: 1615

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec, 8 1 2 . 0 1 .

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL LEVY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615

Page 4